

The Mahler Trial in Potsdam --
Day 2 (15 Oct 2008)

Translated by J M Damon

{Translator's Remark: When Frederick Töben visited me three weeks ago he asked me to translate Horst Mahler's reports of his present trial, which he wanted to post on the Adelaide website. Five days later, he was arrested in Heathrow Airport. Frederick considered this trial particularly important in view of the developing world financial crisis, which is similar in many respects to that described by Gottfried Feder 75 years ago in his book Kampf gegen die Hochfinanz (The Struggle Against Globalism) - especially the chapter entitled Das Manifest zur Brechung der Zinsknechtschaft (Manifest for the Abolition of Interest Slavery)}

On 15 Oct 2008, Day 2 of my Potsdam show trial, the Court dealt with the treatise "Das Ende der moralischen Geschichtsbetrachtung führt zur Antwort auf die Judenfrage" (The End of the Ethical Consideration of History Leads to the Answer to the Jewish Question) which is posted at <<http://www.recht-zur-verteidigung.org/>>.

The central assertion of this treatise is: "The Germans will not be free again until the Reich has regained its ability to function.

The Reich's path to sovereignty and self-realization leads by way of the abolition of foreign domination by the Jews, and this domination is faltering with the exposure of the Auschwitz Lie."

Against this background, I preferred an evidentiary motion in order to demonstrate the impossibility of proving intent to lie, which is available on the Internet at <www.recht-zur-verteidigung.org/BA_Leugnung_Endf.pdf>.

Here is an excerpt:

Preliminary Remarks for the Professional Judges of the Court

Section 130 III of the Penal Code states that denying "Holocaust" is a criminal act.

The courts of the Federal Republic, in particular the Mannheim District High Criminal Court, interpret this ruling to mean that statements such as "The genocide of Jews known as 'Holocaust' is not a proven historical fact" may not be uttered in public, regardless of how expressed.

Even a confidential conversation between two persons is interpreted as a public utterance if the possibility exists those third parties might possibly hear and understand the utterance.

It makes no difference whether the logical determiners "right" or "false" are expressed in the course of this utterance.

The peculiar expression in Section 130 Paragraph 3 means that "denial courts" are required to consider "denial" cases even when they believe that "Holocaust" has no reality in space and time.

"Denial" is defined as expressed skepticism regarding an officially decreed true fact, and in this sense, skepticism has the same legal significance as a lie.

However, anyone who, in opposition to the prevailing opinion, exposes an officially designated true fact to skepticism because he himself is of a different persuasion, is not lying.

He is merely possessed of a conviction that differs from the prevailing opinion.

Conviction as an internal phenomenon or Internum cannot be decreed by lawmakers.

These convictions belong to the realm of abstract existence and are beyond the reach of measure or standardization.

According to accepted rules, subjective facts of the case - in this instance, knowledge of "Holocaust" -- must be proven against the accused even in so-called "Holocaust" Trials.

The courts' recent and oft-expressed opinion that the manifest obviousness of "Holocaust" is irrelevant is comprehensible if the idea is also expressed that nothing is relevant except the conviction of the accused. Thus, it must be proven that the accused is convinced of the reality of "Holocaust."

During oral argumentation it is appropriate conduct for the Defense to attempt, through appropriate evidentiary motions, to convince the Court that the subjective body of facts of "Holocaust Denial" cannot be proven.

[Mahler addressing the judges]: You are living and exercising your professions in a land in which defending attorneys, attorneys such as yourselves, are thrown into prison for making use of available evidence by submitting evidentiary motions -- if they submit evidence that causes the allegation to appear questionable that "Holocaust" is actually an event of contemporary history, a "manifestly evident" event.

Have you ever reflected on your own actions in doing this?

Is this what you swore to accomplish as your contribution to the betterment of the world?

The statement of the accused to the effect that he is firmly convinced the crime called "Holocaust" is merely feigned and affected, cannot be disproved.

The firm opinion of the accused is based on intensive direct and indirect study and consideration of the "revisionist" literature listed below. which list is incomplete.

In the course of my defense of the singer Frank Rennicke, I read extensively in the literature of historical revisionism.

>>From this intensive research came new knowledge and realizations, especially from the historical works I shall introduce below.

Concerning the Subject of Evidence and Much More:

You are standing at the intersection of two worlds with your mission to render me harmless by means of a conviction, as you will personally become aware.

I assure you that in this trial I will thoroughly expose the "Mannheimer Way" as the path of treachery and criminality against the German Nation, as I have done in the other trials.

I will expose it so thoroughly that everyone who has wit enough to add one plus one and arrive at the sum of "two" will have no doubts about the innate criminality of the Mannheim court.

The Mannheim judges Meinerzhagen and his colleagues mounted the ultimate summits of Jewish "chutzpa" when they ascertained "... In the case at hand, the conduct of the accused (Sylvia Stolz) has led only in the direction of unreasonably questioning what cannot be doubted.

Her reason for doing this was to introduce her untenable theses for the justification of her conduct.

Her conduct is comparable to that of someone who is accused of fraud and, denying basic arithmetical operations and substituting his own operations, not only arrives at the conclusion that his victims suffered no financial damages but that they actually realized financial advantage from his doings."

With that, Meinerzhagen let the cat out of the bag.

In his world view, 'Holocaust,' like God, cannot be doubted; Mannheim is Bethlehem; and Mannheim District Court is the Church of the Nativity.

Meinerzhagen and his court are challenging our right to doubt - he is questioning our spiritual and intellectual existence!
In the interest of world Jewry, the real content and elements of this trial may not be made known, under any circumstances.
That is the explanation for the amazing fact that, without exception, adjudication and literature bypass the real problem concerning Section 130 Paragraph 3 of the Penal Code.
This has simply remained invisible.
Now it will be made visible, however.

If it is said that the Germans are a nation of necktie-wearers, that is a humorous expression of an opinion over which we might chuckle.
Who would be motivated to contradict such an allegation?
Such a dispute does not affect the German people one way or another.
But if it is said that the German people massacred the Jewish people, this is more than just an expression of trivial opinion.
It is a serious attack on the existence of the Germans as a nation.

In the debate over Section 130, this slight difference has been studiously overlooked.
The courts have acted as though nothing were involved except the minor issue of freedom of opinion, with which one can dispense, as Stefan Huser assures us.

The Germans, unlike the Jews, have never indulged in genocide.
Unlike the Jews, the Germans do not believe that they have been chosen by their God to eradicate other peoples.
If we Germans are made to believe that we have murdered another nation, we feel guilty before our God.
Such massive guilt feelings can morally kill an entire people, as E. P. Koch has pointed out.
Who would have believed that we Germans would so long remain silent about the spiritual murder inflicted on us?
After all, we are not dealing here with an event that occurred in ancient history and now does its mischief only in yellowed schoolbooks.
This moral genocide directed at the German nation is taking place in the present!
Even regardless of what occurred in the past: who would condemn the German nation to extermination without a real trial in a real court?
What legal issues might be contested in a real trial?
And how might they be judged and evaluated?
The Jews insist that the dispute entails acknowledgement of their national destiny of persecution and their dignity as victims of persecution.
But can the preservation of their alleged sensibilities be the main consideration?
Must we accept, for the sake of protecting Jewish sensibilities, our own passive demise as our inevitable destiny?
What is Law if not the will to self-preservation and self-realization of a free nation, the will to survive and evolve?
Forced acquiescence in our own extinction can never be lawful!

Can there be a stronger will to resist than the will that grows out of the realization that Satan is threatening to destroy our own people and family - the dearest that we have in the world?
Germans who are still determined to be German (and who do not merely wish that they were allowed to be German) are determined to resist this attempted act of genocide by the Jews at all costs.
They can accomplish this by simply blunting the drawn Jewish murder weapon -- by revealing the truth!

Even if only a few persons are filled with determination to remain German, they will be enough to assure the end of the "Holocaust" lie.

The end of this monstrous lie will be the same as that concluded by the Weltgeist following the blessing that Isaac gave to his betrayed son Esau (I. Moses 27, 40): "You will live by your sword and serve your brother, and it will come to pass that you will also become a lord and throw off his yoke from your neck."

In the trials of Ernst Zündel, Germar Rudolf, Sylvia Stolz and myself, Judaism has finally encountered the German people's will to self-preservation, and it has been thwarted.

The insurgents among our people have torn away the mask from the face of our mortal enemy.

Without its mask, the enemy's powers are wilting like the torn leaves of a tree in the midday sun.

Meinerzhagen, Schwab and Glenz, the head judges in the show trials of Ernst Zündel, Germar Rudolf and Sylvia Stolz, have made it clear to the whole world that in occupied Germany, the quest for empirical truth is suppressed as a state crime whenever it might thwart the Jewish exploitation of the Reich.

The "Holocaust" Judges have obviously not yet realized the fundamental weakness of their position.

In every "Holocaust" trial before now, the questions to be answered have always been the same.

The answers given by these traitors masquerading as judges have always had the same composition.

Even before the verdict is announced, one can analyze them and make clear to the jurists that they are pronouncing verdict on themselves.

They are making clear to the whole world whether they want to take their place in the camp of the Mannheim Inquisition with all the career and monetary rewards connected with collaboration, or whether they want to remain true to their oath to serve Truth and Justice.

With every "Holocaust" witch trial following the familiar pattern that Attorney Sylvia Stolz identified in Mannheim, the scandal penetrates more deeply into the public consciousness.

Ever more just-minded people throughout the world have begun raising their voices to put an end to the specter of Mannheim.

{Translator's Note: For an exposition of the Enlightenment principle that judicial truth can and must be supported by empirical evidence, and a discussion of the way in which "Holocaust" trials are an attack on the Enlightenment, see the article by Prof. Egon Flaig

www.endstationrechts.de/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=994&Itemid=241 or my translation at www.ziopedia.org/index2}

Until the collapse of the global kleptocracy, both the existence of Israel and global control of the fates of the nations by world Jewry depended on successful application of the Mannheim interpretation of Article 130 of the Penal Code.

With this greatest financial swindle in human history, now clear to everyone, world Jewry has finally severely damaged itself, along with the pseudo state of Israel.

The "Holocaust" myth and the presently predatory monetary system are the two sides of the same coin.

The determination to rescue the German nation and put an end to the "Holocaust" megaswindle is now merging with the self-preservation reflex of other nations, thereby becoming an irresistible force.

This and nothing else is the Iranian "Atomic Bomb" that is so feared by the Zionist empire.

All the nations of the world must now throw off Jacob's yoke if they want to live in freedom and dignity.

The judicial banning of speech related to historical reality has now lost its power to terrify, as Sylvia Stolz, several self-accusers and I can now testify.

This means that in Germany, the heartland of the "Holocaust" guilt cult, subservience to world Jewry is going to disappear, and when it does, global Jewish hegemony will be abolished in the blink of an eye.

When that happens, the way will be clear for a new order of national economies, since National Socialism as an economic system will no longer be banned.

The currency system, the circulating life's blood of a self-generating Volksgemeinschaft (people's community), will become the national currencies of all nations, and the value of the national currency will be guaranteed by the productivity of the corresponding nation.

The dual nature of mankind as existing both for himself (Individuum) for others, (zoon politikon), will be realized in the new form of doubly productive trust properties (the future form of property in the New National Socialism.) For more information on this subject visit <www.voelkische-reichsbewegung.org>

The exchange of goods across national borders will no longer be piratical free trade, but a regulated exchange of goods according to the principle of mutual benefit.

You, the judges of Fourth Superior Criminal Court of Potsdam District, are not dealing here with a routine "Holocaust" show trial.

In this trial, you are brushing against the cloak of history.

With a verdict directed toward truth and justice, you have the possibility of shortening the devastating economic depression that is descending upon the nations.

The way out of this catastrophe is indicated in the program Ehre! Wahrheit! Heimat! (Honor, Truth, Homeland) that is posted on the Internet at <www.voelkische-reichsbewegung.org>.

This program is the updated form of the National Socialist program.

It is an obvious truth that "only organized will is power."

Spirit, however, is the organizing factor.

Michael BIRTHELM presents and explains the organizing spirit in a thoroughly practical way in his Komm Heim! - Komm heim ins Reich (Come Home Into the Reich) which is posted at <www.voelkische-reichsbewegung.org/Kommheim.pdf>.

The spiritual and intellectual foundations of a Reich that is once again capable of acting emerges from this work.

As for you sitting on the judges' bench -- the nations are going to curse you if you allow this opportunity to escape because of your cowardice and willful blindness.

You will be destroying millions of human lives if you decide to rule against empirical historical reality.

These are lives that can still be rescued from need and despair if the path of National Socialism is taken immediately and not after years of economic and political infirmity.

What is needed for this to occur, is abolition of the deadly historical falsehoods that are presently distorting the German view of reality.

With Germar Rudolf's book Lectures on the Holocaust, the historical bonfire has been prepared on which these lies will be consumed.

The German Reich will arise, Phoenix-like, from the ashes of these lies.

Frau Maybohm, Herr Weber, Herr Dieltiz - will you really wager your life that Truth is not going to triumph over the demonstrable lies of Germany's enemies?

You should not attempt to pretend to the public that you find the Mannheimer Line convincing.

You have already expressed your reluctance to make such a pretense with your considered suspension of these proceedings dated 27 December 2007.

Or must one assume that you now consider the pursuit of justice compatible with the persecution of witches?

If this is the case, you should immediately return your judicial letters patent.

Is there an honest judge in all the land who does not reflexively ball his hand into a fist when he hears of the disgraceful actions of the Mannheim judges? - Witch hunting is a danger to all, worse than arson or murder. It is a crime against the spirit, a sin against God.

Even under the occupation law imposed by Germany's enemies, you are still answerable to the Reich for your acts.

For the sake of the survival of the German Nation, I must give you a warning, regardless of the consequences for myself personally:

Culprits within the judiciary who take part in the spiritual murder of the Reich, rather than the Jews, can expect the death penalty under the laws of the Reich.

Now you are again going to say again that I tried to intimidate you personally.

Are we intimidating a thief when we remind him of the legal consequences before he commits the crime?

You would most certainly not take the risk of being yourselves brought to justice if you had no fear of Judaism.

I warn you also that you are making yourself liable to charges of treason when you project your image of the devil onto me and others like me, knowing full well that we are not the devil.

Your fear of the Jews, your metus judeorum can no longer excuse and protect you, since the danger that you too can become their victim is now drastically reduced.

Jewish world power was dispersed on Wall Street's "Black Monday," 29th September 2008, when the political flunkeys of the Jewish kleptocracy in front of the American Congress took the oath of revelation for the sake of the "System."

It has become clear to the whole world that the Jewish kleptocrats have totally plundered and enslaved the nations, in keeping with the command of their god as written in their holy book, even though it might take a few weeks until this discovery motivates the nations to flush out the thieves from their hiding places in order to seize them and bring them to justice.

You should be aware that you are not applying legitimate Law against me.

Instead, you are forcing our enemy's worldview on the German nation.

In every area of our society, there is already mistrust of all statements by the representatives of present policies.

There is profound mistrust of the Jewish dominated and Jewish owned media.

>>From every direction we hear the question "How was this possible?"

The answer is clear and simple:

The ruination of the world through usury and the enslavement of the nations through interest would long since have been overcome and the present robbery of the nations would be impossible if the beacon light of National Socialism had not been extinguished by the lies of our enemies.

World Jewry was able to succeed in this because it had not yet been recognized for what it is by other nations.

Only the German Reich had recognized it and the danger it represents.

Its true nature was perceived as "the abnegation of the life of nations" (Martin Buber).

Furthermore the fact that only the Germans perceived the real nature of Judaism was misinterpreted on account of racism.

The Jew was not yet recognized as the Satan that is justified in the evangelists, not yet acknowledged as a servant of God who is our enemy for the sake of our salvation (Paul's Letter to the Romans, 11:28).

One does not hate an evangelically justified enemy, one overcomes him.

This becomes ever more certain as we better understand that Satan's indispensability is derived from the life of the Spirit.

Legal Acknowledgement

If you consider the argumentation as it is developed in this trial, you can avoid the ignominy of a capricious and arbitrary verdict.

Furthermore you need not prepare a ruling concerning submission under Article 100 of Basic Law, a ruling that would entail considerable risk on your part.

All you have to do is admit the evidentiary motion.

Expert advisers will assure you that subjective belief in the body of facts of "Holocaust" denial in the sense described here is doubtful at the very least.

Without resorting to expert testimony, however, you could decide that in your opinion an unusual degree of political stubbornness has been evident throughout the course of my life.

It is clear that I firmly believe and mean what I say when I insist, from deepest conviction, that I in my "illusory world" doubt "Holocaust" and thus am not acting with the intent of a liar.

Potsdam, 15 Oktober 2008

Horst Mahler